A checklist for HTA reports

This summary form is intended as an aid in recording of the extent to which a health technology assessment (HTA) report meets the 15 questions given in the checklist. To be completed and sent to the INAHTA Secretariat by email (<u>secretariat@inahta.org</u>).

It is NOT intended as a scorecard to rate the standard of HTA reports – reports may be valid and useful without meeting all the criteria that have been listed.

Reference of report	Varela Lema, L. [safety and effectiveness of LDL-aphaeresis in Cerebrotendinous xanthomatosis.]. Santiago de Compostela: Agencia Gallega para la Gestión del Conocimiento en Salud. Unidad de Asesoramiento Científicotécnico, avalia-t 2016. Serie Avaliación de Tecnoloxías. Consultas Técnicas; Report No.: CT2016/01. Available from http://adminavalia-t/DXerais/653/CT201601_LDL%20Aféresis- DEF_corregido.pdf
Organization (acronym)	Avalia-t
Name of person completing the checklist	Leonor Varela Lema
Email	Avalia-t@sergas.es

Item							Yes	Ра	rtly	No
Preliminary										
1. Appropriate contact details for further information?							х			
2. Authors identified?							х			
3. Statement rega	arding co	onflict of ir	nterest?				Х			
4. Statement on v	whether	report ext	ernally reviewed	?			х			
5. Short summary	y in non-	technical	language?						х	
Why?										
6. Reference to the	ne policy	/ question	that is addresse	d?			х			
7. Reference to the	ne resea	rch quest	ion that is addres	ssed?			х			
8. Scope of the assessment specified?							х			
9. Description of the assessed health technology?							х			
How?										
10. Details on so	urces of	informatio	on and literature s	search	strategies p	orovide	ed?			
Search	Data	bases	Year		anguage	F	Primary data		Other kind of	
strategy			range	re	estriction				information resources	
YES	YI	ES			YES		YES			/ES
Complete referen			List of		Inclusion			Exclusion		
of included stu	dies	exclu	uded studies		criteria		criteria		à	
YES	n haaia (YES		YES			:	YES	<u> </u>
11. Information of da	1		al appraisal		Atton of select		ata and			
extraction descr			d (for quality		hesis descri		Results of the assessment clearly presented, eg, in the fo			
			sment of the	-						
YES		literatur	e) described? YES		YES		of evidence table YES		ables?	
			TES		163		TES			
Context?	n contex	rt (may or r	nav not apply to a	ach HT	<i>Δ</i>)					
(Medico-) legal	-	text (may or may not apply to e Economic Ethical			Social		Other perspectives			
implications		inalysis	implications impl		implicati	ons	(sta	akeholders, patients,		
considered?		ovided?	considere		consider		consumers) considere		sidered?	
YES		Partly	Not appl	у	Not app	bly			YES	
What then?							Yes	Pa	rtly	No
13. Findings of th							Х			
14. Conclusions from assessment clearly stated?							X			

Version 4. July 2007

Copyright INAHTA Secretariat 2007

15. Suggestions for further action?		